



# The impact of rocket launches and space debris on ozone and climate

Robert G. Ryan<sup>1</sup>, Eloise A. Marais<sup>1</sup>, Chloe J. Balhatchet<sup>2</sup>, Sebastian D. Eastham<sup>3</sup>



## 2019 rocket emissions inventory implemented in GEOS-Chem.

Emissions specified by time, geolocation, fuel type, rocket stage, altitude. Key pollutants: NO<sub>x</sub>, HCl + Cl, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, black carbon. We simulate a 'contemporary' scenario of a decade of 5.6% a<sup>-1</sup> growth on 2019 levels, and a 'space tourism' scenario which adds daily launches by Virgin Galactic and Blue Origin and weekly launches by SpaceX to the inventory.





Launch locations and the proportion of fuel types used in each place


The clearest O<sub>3</sub> recovery trends following implementation of the Montreal Protocol are in the upper stratosphere (Steinbrecht et al., ACP, 2017). The spring recovery trend in the Arctic upper stratosphere is 81 ppb dec<sup>-1</sup> (Eyring et al., ACP, 2010; 60-90°N, 5 hPa altitude) In our contemporary rockets scenario, springtime Arctic O<sub>3</sub> loss at 5 hPa is 10 ppb dec<sup>-1</sup>, 13% of the post-Montreal Protocol recovery. This increases this to 18 ppb dec<sup>-1</sup> (23% of the recovery trend) with inclusion of space tourism emissions.

Annual and zonal mean O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio changes for the contemp. and space tourism scenarios

## Potential to undermine ~20% of the gains made by the Montreal Protocol





Cl and NO<sub>x</sub> are the two most detrimental rocket pollutants for O<sub>3</sub> loss. NO<sub>x</sub> is released by all rocket launches and re-entry. Re-entry ablation accounts for 90% of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Cl is only released by solid-fuel rockets, resulting in an overall increase in total inorganic chlorine (Cl<sub>y</sub>).



Warming is due to soot (black carbon). O<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> depletion and enhanced polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) and cause a slight cooling.

## Rocket soot warms the atmosphere 600 times more efficiently than soot from other sources



Global distribution of radiative forcing changes. Soot emitted to the stratosphere accumulates at mid-high latitudes. Warming is greatest in the NH which receives most of the emissions

The high warming efficiency of rocket soot is because rockets release soot directly into the stratosphere.

Radiative forcing (RF) normalized by emitted soot mass is 20.7 mW m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> Tg<sup>-1</sup> for all other sources (Dong et al., GRL, 2019). In both simulation scenarios, rocket soot RF exceeds 12,000 mW m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> Tg<sup>-1</sup>. Rocket soot produces 9% of the global soot RF despite making up <0.001% of the emissions.