Modelling sources of fine particles (PM_{2.5}) in UK cities






Eloise A. Marais Jamie M. Kelly



Jordan White Roland J. Leigh


Particles are a mix of components that persist for days



PM_{2.5} includes local and distant sources (long atmospheric lifetime)

Stricter World Health Organization (WHO) Guideline


(https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329)






Source: WHO Facebook page

Simulate PM_{2.5} with the 3D Model GEOS-Chem



GEOS-Chem manual: http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/

Simulate PM_{2.5} with the 3D Model GEOS-Chem



GEOS-Chem manual: http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/

Test Contribution of Potentially Influential Sources

Local



Derbyshire

Nottinghamshire

Staffordshire

Leicestershire

Rutland

West Midlands

Northamptonshire

rcestershire

County

National



Nearby large cities




Transport



Agriculture

Regional



Mainland Europe

Global





Desert Dust

Assess Validity of Model using Reference Monitors

Use total PM_{2.5} observations from the Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN) to assess model

Comparison of annual mean surface concentrations of PM_{2.5} for 2019






Consistent spatial pattern (**R = 0.66**) and variance (**slope = 1.0**). Model **16% less** than observations

74% of UK exceeds updated WHO guideline

Assess Validity of Model using Reference Monitors


Use PM_{2.5} composition measurements from UKEAP and EMEP sites to assess model



Model underpredicts observed (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium) and possibly overpredicts unobserved (dust) components. Model captures variance of components from NO_x (nitrate) and ammonia (ammonium)


Assess Validity of Model using Reference Monitors

Also evaluate model skill at reproducing observed seasonality in PM_{2.5}




Enhancements in cold months and when ammonia emissions from agriculture peak due to application of synthetic fertilizer in March-April

Contribution of Sources to annual PM_{2.5} in Leicester



Contribution of Sources to PM_{2.5} seasonality in Leicester




Comparable contributions from road traffic (exhaust) and shipping emissions

Agriculture largest contributor in every month except February


Mainland Europe contribution peaks in November to April, due to long atmospheric lifetime of PM_{2.5} in winter (cold temperatures, calm conditions, low planetary boundary layer)

Corroborating Evidence from Low-Cost Sensors

Low-cost network of Zephyr® sensors distributed throughout Leicester since November 2020




Corroborating Evidence from Low-Cost Sensors



According to low-cost sensors, local sources contribute **5-11%**. Similar to the model (**3-5%**)

Results for Large Cities like London and Birmingham



SO₄: sulfate; NO₃: nitrate;

NH₄: ammonium; **BC**: black carbon;

OC: organic carbon; **DU**: dust

London: 1,600 km²

Birmingham: 270 km²

Leicester: 70 km²

Broad applicability to other cities

Only in London is local PM_{2.5} similar to agriculture

Conclusions and Acknowledgements

- Under-regulated agricultural sector dominates PM_{2.5} year-round
- Mainland Europe makes large seasonal contribution to PM_{2.5} in November to April.
- Policies targeting local sources only likely to be effective for large cities like London
- Results reinforce the need for continued and strengthened international agreements and measures to control ammonia emissions from agriculture
- Anthropogenic dust is a large source of uncertainty due to challenges representing emissions and evaluating the model

Support provided by Leicester City Council from a Defra-funded Air Quality Grant



