Cloud-slicing TROPOMI retrieval of vertically-resolved tropospheric NO₂ and O₃

Featuring work by PhD student Rebekah Horner

UCL

erc

2 Dec 2024

Eloise Marais,

e.marais@ucl.ac.uk,

AERSS Meeting,

Tropospheric nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and ozone (O_3)



Influences climate, air quality, food security, tropospheric oxidation

Limitations of current observing systems

TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ [µmol m⁻²]



40

Image source: https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing the Earth/ Copernicus/Sentinel-5P/Nitrogen dioxide pollution mapped

60

Operational and research grade satellite data products offer one piece of vertical information

Aircraft observations intermittent

In situ NO₂ instruments prone to interference from reservoir compounds

IAGOS campaign flight tracks



TROPOMI tropospheric O₃ [DU]



[Heue et al., 2022]

70

Optically thick clouds split up the troposphere



Retrieve partial columns above optically thick clouds (data typically discarded)

Convert partial columns to mixing ratios



Clusters of partial columns above optically thick clouds:

Calculate average mixing ratio between target pressure ranges:

$$VMR = \frac{\Delta VCD}{\Delta P} \times \text{ const}$$

Current Application to TROPOMI: free tropospheric NO₂

Cloud-sliced multiyear mean free tropospheric NO₂ at 1° [pptv]



Current Application to TROPOMI: NO₂ boundary layer





Cloud-slicing assumes NO₂ uniform within each layer. Assumption doesn't hold over surface source regions, so take difference of cloud-sliced and tropospheric columns:





NO₂ vertical profile



[Horner et al., 2024]

Next Slide:

2 columns of multiyear means of cloud-sliced ozone for the TROPOMI record for 4 years from 1 May 2018 to 30 April 2022 at 1° resolution



Assessment of Cloud-sliced NO₂

Use NASA DC8 aircraft observations for campaigns in 2008-2018



Background: cloud-sliced NO₂ In situ data for different years When spatial coverage similar, aircraft and cloud-sliced data difference < 10 pptv

Symbols: aircraft NO₂

But, few instances of coincidence

[Horner et al., 2024]

Assessment of Cloud-sliced NO₂

Select locations comparing DC-8 (black) and cloud-sliced (red) NO₂



When sampling extent is consistent (within 10-15 pptv), but coincidence is rare

Assessment of Cloud-sliced O₃ using GE S-Chem



Suggests ~10 ppbv positive bias in cloud-sliced values for upper layers

Comparison of Cloud-sliced O₃ to Ozonesondes

June-August at 800-600 hPa

December-February at 800-600 hPa



Comparison of Cloud-sliced O₃ to Ozonesondes

Examples of comparisons with good agreement (typically <5 ppbv difference)



Excellent agreement between cloud-sliced and ozonesonde O_3 in the tropics / subtropics

Comparison of Cloud-sliced O₃ to Ozonesondes

Examples of comparisons with large discrepancies (differences up to 30 ppbv)



Causes still under investigation, such as selection of latitudinal bands for comparison

Assess Contemporary Knowledge of Tropospheric NO₂



Take-home Messages

- Very promising method of addressing absence of routine vertically resolved tropospheric ozone and NO₂
- Encouraging consistency with independent observations (in situ for NO₂, sondes for ozone)
- Evaluation of GEOS-Chem model provides steer for future research
- All are LEO instruments. GEO would offer greater data density and ability to interrogate and understanding diurnal variability
- Need reliable, coincident, independent observations to validate cloud-sliced data

Links to Papers and Data:

Horner et al., ACP, 2024: https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/24/13047/2024/

Cloud-sliced vertical profiles of NO₂ data: <u>https://doi.org/10.5522/04/25782336</u>

Application beyond my group: Opacka et al., 2024:

https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2024/egusphere-2024-2912/

Past cloud-slicing papers:

Marais et al., ACP, 2018: <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/18/17017/2018/</u> Marais et al., AMT, 2021: <u>https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/14/2389/2021/</u>